

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ber 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,806	03/04/2002	Saeko Kurachi	111204	1604	
25944	7590 05/26/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			JOHNSON, CHI	JOHNSON, CHRISTINA ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1725	1725	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

,WV

		V			
. 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/086,806	KURACHI, SAEKO			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Christina Johnson	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened strabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expired a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	a) and the appropriate extension fee have The appropriate extension fee under 37 in final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) on, even if timely filed, may reduce any in filed within two months of the date), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS					
 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR-1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendment canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-13,17-23 and 41. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The second for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT along the application in condition for allowers because.					
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth on the record in the final office action. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 					
13. Other:					
		Christina Johnson Patent Examiner			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments change the scope of the claims and raises new issues, requiring further consideration and/or search.

Churchan CHRISTINA JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/24/05